G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 106-18

191 P.
(Mysore)

Serial Nos. 118, 119, 120, 122, 150.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 118, 119, 120, 122 & 150

Part B for July 1919

SUBJECT.

Petition from the inhabitants of Nanjangud re the objectionable conduct of Asst. T. S. Siddappa Asst. Surgeon, of the place in taking against usual a marriage procession in front of the temple of Sri Srikanteswara Swami at Nanjangud.

No. 163-66 d. 12-7-1919

To The Dy. Comm. Mysore or Senior Surgeon, Chmg. Surgh. Comptt. to favr.

Communicates the displeasure of Govt. at the objectionable conduct of Asst. T. S. Siddappa in taking a marriage procession

V Rishabhappa Accountant 19.7.19

W D 1250—GFB—30,000 - 28-1-19

G. R. S. III-1] 1918.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Immigrant)

NOTES

File No. 104-18

FILE HEADING

Petitions, Emigrants &c.

From

The Private Secretary to H.H.

No. 4237 Dated 2.4.1919 Received 12.4.1919.

Diary No. 1283] Enclos Spare Copies [Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for disposal a petition from certain inhabitants of Nanjangud Complaining that the D.S. Siddeshwara, Asst Surg. at Nanjangud took a marriage procession with Chakris, the bridegroom remaining seated in a carriage on the shoulders of the bearers against the usual custom.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

119 Letter No 4268 dt 3.4.19 from the same Office forwarding for disposal a similar petition from some Ryots on the same.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 1024 of 1918.

Serial No.

Draft forwarding the petitions to the Dy Comm Tuticorin for early report is submitted for approval & other orders.

CB.
12/4/19

12/4/19 Draft as submitted for approval

(A similar petn received a few days ago in the Hon Supt's office was sent demi-officially to the DC Tuticorin requesting information as to what exactly happened and what the correct procedure is.)

12/4/19

First Member) Approved. Issue reminder, if reply not rec'd within a fortnight. 15/4/19

16/4/19

16/4/19

120. No. 3316 dt 17.4.19. Dy Comm Tuticorin Calls for a very early report in the matter

Note—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18—S.

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 104 of 1918.

Serial No. 122

Letter No R. Dis 208-18-19 mur Dy 25th april 1919 from the Dy Commr Mysore Dt submitting report in the matter.

The facts of the case are as follows:—

On the 23rd of March 1919 Mr. T.S. Siddappa Asst Surgeon Nanjangud tried to take a marriage procession in front of the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud at about 12.10 P.M. in a carriage, some persons forming the procession holding umbrellas unfolded Police Constable on duty near the temple went & informed Mr. Siddappa that it was against manual to pass a procession in front of the temple in a carriage holding umbrellas and requested Mr. Siddappa to have all umbrellas to be folded and to take the bridegroom by walk till beyond the frontage of the temple. Mr

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18—S.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus. File No. 104 of 1918.

Serial No.

Siddappa is alleged to have disregarded the suggestions of the Police man and conducted the procession in front of the temple. The Policeman thereupon made a report to the Peshkar who in his turn called a meeting of Dharmadarsis to enquire into the manner in such cases. The Dharmadarsis, the Peshkar & some of other temple servants are of opinion that the action of Mr. Siddappa is against manual. The matter was then reported to the Amildar who called for an explanation from Mr. Siddappa. Mr. Siddappa has submitted his explanation pleading that it was far from his intention to wound the feelings of the public or to act contrary to manual when he took the procession in front of the temple & expresses his regret at the action if it has caused any objectionable feelings among the public.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MUS File No. 104 of 1918.

Serial No.

The Dy Commr does not seem to be satisfied with the explanation and terms it an expression of "qualified contrition for his act" and says that "He might have tendered an unconditional apology instead.

Submitted for orders.

Chas
2.5.19
C6
2/5/19
Secy.)

H.D.
3/5/19.

5) Md Siddappa admits that he took the bridegroom in a carriage in front of the temple but appears to the Somewhat disingenuous about the incident. Bringing in a bridegroom for marriage ceremonies is usually done in a processional style and in the day time it is very likely that an umbrella was used. Md Siddappa himself admits that the Constable objected to the umbrella though he adds that there was [illegible]

6) If the Peshkar is the Sabredar Md Siddappa seems to have exceeded his manual & some time previous

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Hus File No. 104 of 1918.

Serial No.

And if this is true, the incident is a clear and deliberate attempt to challenge the Maruti. The opinion of the Dharmadarsi is unanimous on the point - that with the exception of a few Mahadhi patis of high standing, no one else may ride in a vehicular conveyance in front of the temple. ~~[illegible]~~ The plea of ignorance put forward by Mr. Siddappa seems to be untenable as it is hardly likely that the practice of vehicular traffic in front of the temple would not have attracted Mr. Siddappa's notice since others as his known was admitted the right in front of the temple.

Action of the Govt. is always to be deprecated and specially so in an affair of delicate & religious duty. The conduct of Mr. Siddappa seems to deserve suitable notice and censure

Kala
11-3-19

151/14.3.19

1st Member)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

Draft a short order stating that it seems clear from the records that Mr Siddappa did [deliberately] take the manifold processes in force of the bandy with an open mahdha held over the bridegroom's head; that Mr Siddappa as a resident of the place and also from the objections raised by him at the time ought to have known that the action in question was objectionable & offensive; but that in view of the [illegible] expressed [illegible] regret he has tendered this conduct [illegible] he will consider the action pleasure & approving of the D.C.'s proposal regarding [illegible]

[illegible]

Let
16 S

KB
16/5/19

I [illegible] as per instructions

GS
17/5/19 GS
19/5/19

KB
19/5/19

Secy) For favour of approval. The file may then be put up with draft letter for action

(Mr Macaulay)

GS

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

17326-9
19.5.19

WD A 1789—GPD—60,000—20-3 18—S.

-113

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Finance File No. 652 of 1919.

Serial No.

11. Draft appd. May also be subd to the Dewan.

K
20.8.19

12. Forwarded with compliments from the Chief Secretary for further action

Lieut-Colonel
Private Secretary

Dy. 5-19

13. It is not clear to me why this file should be further dealt with in the Fin. Secty. There is no question of this S. S. being conducted as an official gathering of N. D. A.

14. The order may issued with the usual minute and sent with compliments

C.J.
2/6/19

Subd to Dewan

Ker
6.6.19.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY 4 1919
Reg. No. 7655

I think the draft may be submitted to H.H. The last item may not be inserted.

L
10.6

This is omitted in deference to the Dewan's suggestion K 20.6.19

Put up D. P. N. Also for issue of the order

Ker
10.6.19

K.B.
12/6/19.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Dewan, File No. 1624 of 1918.

Serial No.

Draft Dewan Note and fair draft (omitting the last paragraph of the original draft as per orders of the Dewan) are submd for apbl.

C.B.
21/6/19

Perused
[illegible]

Clean copy of the D.P.N. is prepared. A D S N is also prepared.

[illegible]
27/6/19

89 a draft note is submd for approval

2211/30 6 19

[illegible]

[illegible]

30/6/19

Subt to Dewan with D. L. N.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUL 3 1919
Reg. No. 85.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

THE OBJECTIONABLE CONDUCT OF MR. T. S. SIDDAPPA, ASSISTANT SURGEON, NANJANGUD, IN TAKING, AGAINST MAMUL, A MARRIAGE PROCESSION IN FRONT OF THE TEMPLE AT NANJANGUD.

On the 23rd March 1919, a marriage took place at Nanjangud in the house of Assistant Surgeon Mr. T. S. Siddappa, which is situated near the temple of Sri Srikanteswaraswamy; and at about noon the bridegroom was taken in procession to his house in a carriage with an open unbrella held over him. When the carriage was about to pass in front of the temple, the Police constable on duty at the temple apprised Mr. Siddappa and the procession party that it was against mamul to drive in a carriage in front of the temple or go with umbrellas unfurled; but Mr. Siddappa declined to alter the route or make the bridegroom alight from the carriage, and proceeded with the procession.

2. On receipt of complaints in the matter a report was called for from the Deputy Commissioner; and the report now received substantially supports the allegations. Mr. Siddappa himself admits that the bridegroom drove in a carriage in front of the temple, and that a constable informed him that the procession should not be taken in front of the temple. He pleads ignorance of the Mamul, and suggests that mistakes are not unlikely in the absence of sign-boards prohibiting vehicular traffic in front of the temple.

3. The excuse put forward by Mr. iddappa does not appear to be satisfactory, as he ought to have known the Mamul as a resident of the place for some considerable time. In any case there was nothing to prevent him from acting upon the suggestion of the constable on duty.

4. Under these circumstances, it is proposed to convey to him the displeasure of Government at his inconsiderate action.

5. A draft order is submitted for the gracious approval of His Highness.

M S Chandrasekhara

B a n g a l o r e.
1st July 1919.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Submitted to His Highness. ers

I submit herewith a final note by the First Member of Council on the subject of the objectionable conduct of Mr. T. S. Siddappa, Assistant Surgeon, Nanjangud, in taking against mamul, a marriage procession in front of the temple at Nanjangud, with a draft order, for His Highness' gracious approval.

3rd July 1919.

4.7.19

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Objectionable conduct of Mr. T.S.Siddappa, Assistant Surgeon, in taking against mamul, a marriage procession in front of the temple at Nanjangud.

40

Order.

~~KRM~~
5/7/19

Chief Secy,
5/7

Send for information
5/7/19

7/7/19

7/7/19

(Chief Member)

KS/8.7.19.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwg File No. 161 of 1918.

Serial No. 150

2, by. Commr. Inspns; Senior Surgeon: Inwg. Supdt & Comptr. to Govt.

No. 163-66 dy. 12 7 19.

Communicates the displeasure of Govt. at the objection conduct of Mr. D. S. Siddappa in taking a marriage procession in front of the Ranjangi temple.

citizens of Nanjangud town. -

Complainant that Mr J S Siddappa Asst Surgeon took a marriage procession with open white umbrellas in front of the Sri Kanteswara Swami temple in spite of repeats be cautioned to manner + request that suitable notice may be taken of his conduct.

WW 1919

12.4.19

మైసూరు సంస్థానమునకు దివాన్ గారికి
చిరుకు డైరవర సన్నిధానంబున 15.1890.

ఈనాడు నంజినగూడు పట్టణవాసులగు కొందఱు ఈక్ష్యనివాసులు
తిరువనంతపురము - సన్నిధానంబున చేరంతీసుకొన్నట్టి వ్రాయించిరి.

ఈనగరమున్న పట్టణప్రజలు నమ్మ శ్రీవారలకు
రాంబరవర యిచ్చియుండిరి. ఇవుడప్రభ్రష్టకాలమున శ్రీ శ్రీ శ్రీ
సన్నిధానమువార యీనగరమున్న శాశ్వతముగా తిరువనంతపురమువలె
వుచిత్యమైన సౌఖ్యములు గలుగుచున్నవి -

ఈనగరమున్నకు నడమలుండి ఇంగ్లీషువారి
యుద్యోగులు గ్రామాధికారులు లేక మఱికొందఱు ప్రభుత్వోద్యోగులు
అందఱి శ్రీ శ్రీ శ్రీ ఇంగ్లీషువారలు సన్నిధానమువారు - శ్రీ రంగాచార్లు
సన్నిధానమువారు - శ్రీ లక్ష్మణాచారి సన్నిధానమువారు శ్రీ వెంకటరం
గయ్య సన్నిధానమువారు - శ్రీ కేశవరాజులసన్నిధానమువారు శ్రీ శివసంగం
సన్నిధానమువారు - శ్రీ కుప్పణ్ణి సన్నిధానమువారు ఇవరులున్నను చిన్న
నిశేషరు లయ్యంగారు వాసుదేవాచారు శ్రీరంగాచార్యులు —
వ్యత్రియాంగనేయులు - మిశ్రవర్గమున శ్రీరంగాచార్యులు
యను నాదియైనవారలు నిత్యమును వచ్చి
దినములయందు యిచ్చోటనే సమీపమందుండి వారలు
మేలుచేయుచున్నారు —

ఆదరి సంబంధమునుండి ఈనగరస్థులందఱు
చుండిరువ హో ఎనో - నిజ్జీవస్థులెంచువరు తప్పక తప్పనే మనుష్య
మాత్రులు సందర్శనార్థమై 23-3-19 నాఁ బ్రాంతమందలి
12 క్రోశంతోసమయ మధ్యలో ఈనగరమునకు మదవధిగా
నన్ను కొందు తిన్నటియందు మేళాలతోను శ్రీ శ్రీ శ్రీ సన్నిధానమువార
మిశ్రవర్గమున మంత్రిగారు శ్రీరంగాచార్యులు

అనాదియైనయుండుటచే ఆచిరకాలమునుండి
నిల్లదీ ఈనవిధానమున్న శ్రీ శ్రీ శ్రీ సన్నిధానమువారు - దీనివాలయమున
మనుజులు చొరనంతరంగా మిశ్రవర్గమునుండియుంచి
వరసన్నగా తిన్నటియందు నిలువబెట్టియుంచుటతోడి
తోడంతు శ్రీరంగాచార్యులు వెళ్ళియున్న మనుజులను
తోడదు - ఈవిధంబు చొరనంతరంగా శ్రీరంగాచార్యులు
మనుజులనుండి విడిపించుకొనుచుండు - దీనివలన సన్నిధానమువారు
ఎప్పుడు చూచియున్నను మిక్కిలిదరు - తాత్కాలికులు
నిద్దేశ్యులవరు అవరవరున్నను లక్ష్యపఱచి - తమతమయ వరున్న అల్ల
బంధనామాంశములనుండి తొలగించి - తమ్ము చూచియున్నట్టి



TO THE HON'BLE THE ADVOCATE GENERAL OF MYSORE

మైసూరు సంస్థానద శ్రీమన్మహారాజ రాజాధిరాజరాజ-శ్రీశ్రీకృష్ణరాజేంద్ర ఒడెయర్-బహదూర్-జి.సి.ఎస్.ఐ, జి.సి.బి.ఇ., రవరు మహాసన్నిధానదల్లి;

నంజనగూడు పురనివాసిగళ్ద ఈశ్వరనిరుజు మంతిరువ నావు గళు - మహాసన్నిధానదల్లి వినయ భయభక్తిపూర్వక బాగి బేడికొళ్ళువుదేనందరే:— మహాస్వామి -

ఈనగళ్ళవురిష్టోత్రదల్లి నావందరవర రొరయురు జగద్రక్షకనాద శ్రీశ్రీకంఠేశ్వరస్వామియవర దేవస్థానవన్ను శతృనికొంట్టిరువ విషయవు ఎత్తిక్కే మేళ్యవే ఇదే - మహాస్వామి.

ఈదేవస్థానద ముందే నానాసవారి మళ్ళ వినాయకరిద్దాండిరువ కేలవు మతాంధికరిగళు అందరే శ్రీ శ్రీంగేరి జగద్గురుగళవరు, శ్రీరాంజేంద్ర-శ్రీలుక్కాది శ్రీ వాసరాయ, శ్రీవరకాల, శ్రీశివగంగ. శ్రీకూడ్లి స్వామిగళవరుగళు వినహా ఇతరరు యారూ బారదవారు కొరియరుగళే - వక్రియొడనెయరుగళే - మేరవణిగే హోగువ పద్ధతియు అనాదియిందలూ ఇరువుదిల్ల మహారాజ్ప్రమిప్రరాకనకాలదిందలూ ఇదేరీతి నడెదుబరుత్తిరువ అంశవు ఎత్తిక్కే మేళ్యవే ఇదే. మహాస్వామి.

అదరి నంజనగూడినలి ఈగ డాంబరదింది రువ పి.ఎస్.సిద్దప్ప ఎంబువరు తమ్మ తమ్మనగమళ్ళ మదువె నందభర్దల్లి దా.23.3.19నెయ దినాంకదంద ముద్రాక్షర ప్రేమోపనమయదల్లి ఈ దేవాలయద ముందే మదవణిగె నన్ను కుదురిసిరువ మేరవణిగెయమేలే కొరియరు శ్రీశ్రీకృష్ణరాజేంద్ర ఒడెయరవరిగే మేరవణిగె మాడిసికొండు హోగలిరుత్తారే - మహారాజ్ప్రమి.

అనాదియిందలూ ఆచారణెయల్లి ఇల్లద ఈ నవీన పద్ధతియన్ను మాడిదే దేవస్థానద పరుశరియవరు దేవాలయదముందె బారు నారూ రాత్రగాగి మేరవణిగె బంద కొండలే వరనన్ను గాడియిందిళిసి బాదవి రియరు గరిడుకొండు హోగలగదేందూ - వక్రియన్ను ముట్టబేడేందూ ఈరీతి బారు నారూ రాత్రరాగి హోగువుదు మామూలాగి విరోధవేందూ ఎష్టుబుద్ధియల్లి తిళిదవరూ - తా౦బ్రహ్మ సిద్దప్పనవరు అవర మాటన్ను లక్ష్యసది పదరి పరుశరియవరన్ను.



ಸಮಾಜ ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಕ ಅಂಶ ಸಮಾಜದಲ್ಲಿರುವ





GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 3316 Enclosure 2 dated 17-4-1919
104-8-120.

The accompanying Petition Registered
No. 1783/1784 dated the 2nd & 3rd April 1919 respectively
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from certain residents of Nanjangud,
complaining that Mr D.S. Siddeshwara, Asst Surgeon Nanjangud conducted against Imamul Mamood, a marriage procession with (X) ~~certain~~ ~~dancers~~, in front of the Sri Srikanteshwara Temple at Swamy Nanjangud,
is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~,
to the By Commr Mysore District for Orders & such action as may be necessary.

(X) "Chatri", the bridegroom remaining seated in a carriage.

By Order.

Secretary to Govt.

BANGALORE,
..... 191

[P. T. O.

GRB—100—6/1-12

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

....ಹೋಬಳಿ

....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಪುಕ್ಕು.

....

....

....

....

....

....

....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ.....

ಮುಕ್ತು ಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

1913
CS CG
Mex
1166
11

From

To

Dispatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Mysore, dated 28th April 1919

From

T. Chokkanna, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of H.H. the

Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department

Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your No. 3316 Muz104-18-20 dated 17th April 1919 I have the honor to forward for your information the file of papers received from the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud connected with the matter.

It will be seen therefrom that Mr. T. S. Siddappa admits having taken the procession in front of the temple and expresses qualified contrition for his act. He might have tendered an unconditional apology instead.

I am issuing instructions to the temple authorities there to have notice boards put up on both sides of the temple prohibiting carriage -- traffic in front of the temple.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

V S Venkatachar

for Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT. Communicating the disbursement of Rs at the request of Sri T.S. Siddappa, Asst Surgeon, in taking a marriage procession in front of the Sri Sri Kantheerava ...

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1963.....

Section Immigration

File No. CR 1-65

Serial No. 150

General and Revenue Secretariat

(VM)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

, *the* *191*

Date of issue.....12.7.65.....

Signed by Mr. M. Sadasiva Rao

Read.—

Petition registered 1783 & 1784 dated the 22nd April 1965, received from certain residents of Nanjangud, complaining that Mr T.S. Siddappa, Asst Surgeon, Nanjangud, conducted, against the known a marriage procession in front of the Sri Sri Kanteerava ... Temple at

his be

i Printed? Yes

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press? No

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

Order Thereon:—~~No.~~

on the 23rd March 1919.

2 Correspondence ended with letter No R dis 218 of 1918-19/Anuppai dated the 24th April 1919 from the Dy Comrs, Tuticorin, submitting his report in the matter.

163-66 Encs one file

Encs 104-18-150.

12.7.1919

Orders No

From the records submitted by the Dy. Comrs. it is clear that Sri Siddeshwara did deliberately take the marriage procession in front of the Sri Sankaralinga Swamy Temple, with an open umbrella held over the head of the bridegroom, who was seated in a carriage, in spite of the protest of the Police Constable on duty at the time near the temple

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Mr Liddelfe. as a resident of the place and also from the objections expressed to him at the time ought to have known that the action in Question was objectionable and offensive But in view of the regret Mr Liddelfe has now expressed in the matter, Government do not propose to take Serious notice of his Conduct beyond expressing their displeasure at his indiscreet action

30.8.97

Secy to Govt
Bangalore 1/9.

To
The Dy Comr, Bangalore (return records for comment)
The Senior Surgeon, Bangalore
The Cantonment Magistrate
The Comptroller

GPB—20,000—7 7-14

Memo No. 1678 12.7.1917

Copy forwarded to
the Hon'ble Secretary to H.H.
the Maharaja for his
information.

B.O

Ld.
26.6.9
Secy to Govt.
Imp. Serv.

36
1/8/17